

## **REMARKS**

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-9 and 12-16 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arnold in U.S. Patent No. 5,819,606. Claim 10 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arnold in U.S. Patent No. 5,819,606. Claims 11 and 17 were objected to as being dependent upon a base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Claims 18 and 19 were allowed.


Claim 1 includes the allowable subject matter of claims 16 and 17. Claim 6 includes the allowable subject matter of claim 11. Claims 18 and 19 were previously allowed. New claims 20 through 29 depend from previously allowed claim 19.

Based on the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the claims in the present application, as they now stand, patentably distinguish over the references cited and applied by the Examiner and are, therefore, in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is in order, and such favorable action and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

However, if after reviewing the above amendments and remarks, the Examiner has any questions or comments, he is cordially invited to contact the undersigned attorneys.

Respectfully submitted,

JACOBSON HOLMAN, PLLC

By:   
Jonathan L. Scherer  
Reg. No. 29,851

400 Seventh Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004-2201  
(202) 638-6666  
Date: October 17, 2006  
JLS/arc